UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,693	01/31/2006	Harvey L. Deutsch	14853-3US	1140
23676 7590 08/22/2008 SHELDON MAK ROSE & ANDERSON PC 100 Corson Street			EXAMINER	
			MARCETICH, ADAM M	
Third Floor PASADENA, C	CA 91103-3842		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/566,693	DEUTSCH, HARVEY L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Adam Marcetich	3761
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 3 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the option of the second s	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receive Tau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/566,693 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- a. Group I, claim(s) 1-24, 40, 44, 43 and 48-71, drawn to a drainage device comprising a first component, second component and elongated tubular mesh, and method of using.
- b. Group II, claim(s) 25-39,41,42,45-47 and 72-91, drawn to another drainage device comprising a first component, second component and elongated tubular mesh, and another method of using.

The following claim(s) are generic: currently, no claims are generic.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 3761

3. Where the group of inventions is claimed in one and the same international application, the requirement for unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among the inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, although they share the special technical feature, this special technical feature does not define a contribution over the prior art for the following reasons:

The common technical feature of a first component comprising a distal segment, where the distal segment comprises an elongated tubular mesh as claimed [claims 1 and 25], or a tubular mesh as claimed [claim 48] is known in the art. For example, Driskill (US Patent 6,517,551) discloses an intravascular foreign object retrieval catheter (cols. 3-4, lines 59-5), further comprising:

a first component comprising a distal segment (col. 5, lines 4-11, Fig. 1, second basket catheter 14 having distal segment);

where the distal segment comprises an elongated tubular mesh (col. 5, lines 20-24, Fig. 1, second basket catheter 14 connected to containment basket 30). The shared technical feature of an elongated tubular mesh does not provide a contribution over the prior art, and no single general inventive concept exists. Therefore the restriction is appropriate.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,693 Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

4. A telephone call was made to David A. Farah on 18 August 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.142) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 73 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/566,693 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam Marcetich whose telephone number is (571)272-2590. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am to 4:00pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva can be reached on 571-272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Adam Marcetich/ Examiner, Art Unit 3761

//Leslie R. Deak//
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3761
20 August 2008